inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Partial Cu occupancy in uranium copper diantimonide, UCu_{0.60(4)}Sb₂

Emilie Ringe and James A. Ibers*

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208-3113, USA Correspondence e-mail: ibers@chem.northwestern.edu

Received 25 June 2008 Accepted 2 July 2008 Online 26 July 2008

The title compound, UCu_{0.60(4)}Sb₂, crystallizes in the tetragonal space group P4/nmn. The U atom and one independent Sb atom have 4mm site symmetry, whereas the Cu atom and the other Sb atom have $\overline{42m}$ site symmetry. Zigzag USb sheets stack perpendicular to the *c* axis. These sheets are separated by square-planar nets of Sb atoms and Cu atoms. The length of the *a* axis for UCu_xSb₂ is invariant to *x*, whereas there is a linear relationship between Cu occupancy and the length of the *c* axis (following Vegard's Law) that holds for *x* between 0 and 1. This is explained in terms of the crystal structure.

Comment

UCu_{0.60(4)}Sb₂ crystallizes with two formula units in the tetragonal space group *P4/nmm*. It possesses the HfCuSi₂ structure type (Andrukhiv *et al.*, 1975). Zigzag U1Sb1 sheets stack perpendicular to the *c* axis (Fig. 1). These sheets are separated by square-planar nets of Sb2 atoms and Cu1 atoms, the repeating pattern being (*a*) Sb2 planar net, (*b*) U1Sb1 sheet, (*c*) Cu1 planar net, and (*d*) U1Sb1 sheet [aligned antiparallel in the *c* direction to the (*b*) sheet]. The U1 and Sb1 atoms have 4*mm* site symmetry, whereas the Cu1 and Sb2 atoms have $\overline{42m}$ site symmetry. The U1 atoms are surrounded by a square antiprism of Sb1 and Sb2 atoms. The geometry around the Sb1 atom is a square antiprism of Cu1 and U1 atoms. The Cu1 atoms are coordinated to four Sb1 atoms in a tetrahedral arrangement, and Sb2 atoms are coordinated to four U1 atoms, also in a tetrahedral arrangement.

Since the UMSb₂ compounds (*M* is a transition metal) were first reported [*M* = Ni and Cu (Kaczorowski, 1992); *M* = Fe, Ru, Co, Pd, Ag and Au (Kaczorowski *et al.*, 1998)], they have received considerable attention, mainly because of their interesting magnetic properties, including Kondo behaviour (Kaczorowski *et al.*, 1998; Bukowski *et al.*, 2005). Large stoichiometric variations are frequently encountered in these UMSb₂ compounds, examples being UCo_{0.46}Sb₂ (Bukowski, Tran *et al.*, 2004) and UNi_{0.5}Sb₂ (Bukowski, Kaczorowski *et al.*, 2004). Such variations are also common among rare-earth analogues (Wollesen *et al.*, 1996). The compound UCu_{0.60(4)}Sb₂





A view of the structure of $UCu_{0.60(4)}Sb_2$, approximately along [010]. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 75% probability level.





The dependence of the length of the *c* axis on *x* in the known UCu_xSb_2 compounds.



Figure 3 Comparison of the structures of USb₂ (left) and UCuSb₂ (right).

reported here is another stoichiometric variation in the UCu_xSb₂ system, for which the known compounds are UCu_{0.44}Sb₂ (Bobev *et al.*, 2006), UCu_{0.83}Sb₂ (Bukowski *et al.*, 2005) and UCuSb₂ (Kaczorowski *et al.*, 1998). Reaction conditions and starting compositions are presumably the determining factors in the final Cu occupancy. This family of compounds obeys Vegard's Law (Vegard, 1921): the cell constant *c* increases linearly with Cu occupancy, as shown in Fig. 2, even though the present structures were determined at

100 and 120 K and the previous structures were determined at 298 K. This linear relationship holds at all Cu occupancies. including the 'zero occupancy', i.e. USb₂ (Leciejewicz et al., 1967). The structures of USb₂ and UCuSb₂ are indeed related (Fig. 3). In UCuSb₂, the voids between adjacent USb zigzag sheets are filled with Cu atoms, effectively pushing the sheets apart and preventing interlayer U–Sb bonding along the caxis. This simple geometric consideration explains why the caxis lengthens but the *a* axis remains unchanged when the Cu occupancy is increased.

Experimental

USb₂ was obtained from the reaction of U powder [0.63 mmol, ORNL, prepared from turnings as described elsewhere (Klein Haneveld & Jellinek, 1969)] and Sb (1.26 mmol, Aldrich, 99.5%) in a carbon-coated fused-silica tube. The tube was heated to 1273 K in 20 h, held there for 111 h, cooled to 773 K in 20 h, and then air-cooled to 293 K. Single crystals of uranium copper antimonide, UCu_{0.60(4)}Sb₂, were obtained from the reaction of USb₂ (0.093 mmol) and CuO (0.093 mmol, Aldrich, 99.99%) in a carbon-coated fusedsilica tube. The tube was heated to 773 K in 10 h, further heated to 973 K in 60 h, held there for 70 h, heated to 1173 K in 20 h, held there for 180 h, cooled to 773 K over a period of 100 h, and finally cooled to 293 K over a period of 60 h. The product consisted mainly of UO₂ powder and elemental Sb, among which a few small black plates were found. Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis showed the presence of U, Cu, and Sb in these plates.

Z = 2

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.14 \times 0.08 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

1951 measured reflections

155 independent reflections

155 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 65.20 \text{ mm}^-$

T = 100 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int}=0.075$

13 parameters $\Delta\rho_{\rm max}$ = 1.32 e Å $^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -3.32 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Compound (I) at 100 K

Crystal data

UCu0.638Sb2 $M_r = 521.84$ Tetragonal, P4/nmm a = 4.320 (4) Å c = 9.341 (9) Å V = 174.3 (3) Å³

Data collection

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ $wR(F^2) = 0.058$ S = 1.20155 reflections

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I) at 100 K.

U1-Cu1 ⁱ	3.132 (2)	Sb1-Cu1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.593 (2)
U1-Sb1 ⁱ	3.167 (3)	Sb2-Sb2 ^{iv}	3.055 (3)
U1-Sb2 ⁱⁱ	3.231 (2)	Cu1-Cu1 ^v	3.055 (3)

Sb1 ⁱⁱⁱ -Cu1-Sb1 ⁱ	112.86	(8)
--	--------	-----

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x - 1, y, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (iv) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z; (v) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Compound (I) at 120 K

Crvstal data

$UCu_{0.600}Sb_{2}$	Z = 2
$M_r = 519.65$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Tetragonal, P4/nmm	$\mu = 64.56 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
a = 4.331 (4) Å	T = 120 (2) K
c = 9.355 (9) Å	$0.14 \times 0.08 \times 0.05 \ \mathrm{mm}$
V = 175.5 (3) Å ³	

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD	1966 measured reflections
diffractometer	160 independent reflections
Absorption correction: numerical	157 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
face-indexed (SADABS;	$R_{\rm int} = 0.061$
Bruker, 2003)	
$T_{\min} = 0.016, T_{\max} = 0.086$	

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ $wR(F^2) = 0.066$ S = 1.32160 reflections

13 parameters $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 3.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -4.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

A correction was made for extinction. All atoms were given anisotropic displacement parameters. Data sets on the same crystal were collected at 100, 120, 200, and 298 K. The corresponding refined Cu occupancies were 0.634 (12), 0.600 (13), 0.608 (13), and 0.586 (12). We choose to report the value as 0.60(4) and we report only the structure determinations at 100 and 120 K here. The structure was standardized by means of the program STRUCTURE TIDY (Gelato & Parthé, 1987). The final difference electron densities show no significant residual peaks. The highest peaks are 1.24 and 0.07 Å from U, and the deepest holes are 1.29 and 0.89 Å from U at 100 and 120 K. respectively.

For both determinations, data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: CrystalMaker (Palmer, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

This research was supported by the US Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences Grant No. ER-15522. Use was made of instrumentation in the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center (MRSEC). This Center is supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF Award Number DMR-0520513. ER acknowledges a grant from the Undergraduate Research Grant Program, which is administered by the Office of the Provost of Northwestern University.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SQ3154). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Andrukhiv, L. S., Lysenko, L. A., Yarmolyuk, Ya. P. & Gladyshevskii, E. I. (1975). Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr. RSR Ser. A, 7, 645-648.

- Bobev, S., Mixson, D. J., Bauer, E. D. & Sarrao, J. L. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, i66-i68
- Bruker (2003). SMART (Version 5.054), SAINT-Plus (Version 6.45a) and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bukowski, Z., Kaczorowski, D., Stepien-Damm, J., Badurski, D. & Troc, R. (2004). Intermetallics, 12, 1381–1386.
- Bukowski, Z., Tran, V. H., Stepien-Damm, J. & Troc, R. (2004). J. Solid State Chem. 177, 3934–3938.
- Bukowski, Z., Troc, R., Stepien-Damm, J., Sulkowski, C. & Tran, V. H. (2005). *J. Alloys Compd*, **403**, 65–70.
- Gelato, L. M. & Parthé, E. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 139-143.
- Kaczorowski, D. (1992). J. Alloys Compd, 186, 333-338.
- Kaczorowski, D., Kruk, R., Sanchez, J. P., Malaman, B. & Wastin, F. (1998). *Phys. Rev. B*, 58, 9227–9237.
- Klein Haneveld, A. J. & Jellinek, F. (1969). J. Less Common Met. 18, 123-129.
- Leciejewicz, J., Troc, R., Murasik, A. & Zygmunt, A. (1967). Phys. Status Solidi, 22, 517–526.
- Palmer, D. (2008). *CrystalMaker*. Version 2.0.7. CrystalMaker Software Ltd, Bicester, Oxfordshire, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Vegard, L. (1921). Z. Phys. 5, 17-26.
- Wollesen, P., Jeitschko, W., Brylak, M. & Dietrich, L. (1996). J. Alloys Compd, 245, L5–L8.