Acta Crystallographica Section C

## Crystal Structure

Communications
ISSN 0108-2701

## Dicerium orthosilicate selenide and dicerium orthosilicate telluride, $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) Q(Q=\mathrm{Se}$ or Te$)$
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The crystal structures of two new quaternary compounds, viz. dicerium orthosilicate selenide and dicerium orthosilicate telluride, $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) Q(Q=\mathrm{Se}$ or Te$)$, have been determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. Each structure comprises infinite chains of $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra separated by Ce and $Q$ atoms. The site symmetries are $\mathrm{Ce} m$ and $2, \mathrm{Si} 2$ and $Q$ $m$. The O atoms are in general positions.

## Comment

$\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) Q(Q=\mathrm{Se}$ or Te$)$ are new members of the $\mathrm{Ln}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) Q(Q=\mathrm{S}$, Se or Te$)$ series $[Q=\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Ho}$ (Hartenbach et al., 2002); $Q=\mathrm{Se}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{La}$ (Brennan $\&$ Ibers, 1991), Nd (Grupe \& Urland, 1990), Sm, Dy and Ho (Person et al., 2000), Er (Stöwe, 1994) and Tb (Ijjaali et al., 2002); $Q=\mathrm{Te}$ and $\mathrm{Ln}=\operatorname{Pr}$ (Weber \& Schleid, 1999), Nd (Yang \& Ibers, 2000), Sm (Yang \& Ibers, 2000; Person et al., 2000)


Figure 1
The structure of $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}$, viewed along [001].
and Gd (Ijjaali \& Ibers, 2001)], as shown in Table 1. These compounds usually adopt an orthorhombic ( Pbcm ) structure, but some of the $Q=\mathrm{Te}$ compounds are dimorphic and also adopt a monoclinic $\left(P 2_{1} / c\right)$ structure (Table 1). Each of the two compounds reported here crystallizes in space group Pbcm and has a layered structure.

The structures of the title compounds both comprise infinite chains of $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra along [001], separated by Ln and $Q$ atoms (as shown in Fig. 1 for $Q=\mathrm{Se}$ ). The $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedron in each structure has symmetry $m$ but is somewhat distorted, with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ angles in the range $104.36(13)-119.01(13)^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}$ and $103.0(2)-119.79$ (13) ${ }^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}$.

Although the two structures are similar, they are not strictly isostructural, differing in the coordination about the Ce atoms (Figs. 2 and 3).

In $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}$, the $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are in the range 2.475 (3) -2.578 (2) $\AA$, which may be compared with the range of 2.374 (3) -2.523 (5) $\AA$ i observed in $\mathrm{Ce}_{3.67} \mathrm{Ti}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{6}$ (Tougait


Figure 2
The coordination geometries of atoms Ce 1 and Ce 2 in $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}$. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $90 \%$ probability level. [Symmetry codes: (b) $x, y-1, z$; (f) $x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-z$; (h) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (j) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z ;(m) 1-x,-y,-z ;(n) 1-x, 1-y,-z ;$ (o) $x, y-1$, $\left.\frac{1}{2}-z ;(p) x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z ;(r)-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z ;(t) 1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, z ;(u) 1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, z.\right]$


Figure 3
The coordination geometries of atoms Ce 1 and Ce 2 in $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}$. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $90 \%$ probability level. [Symmetry codes: (b) $x, y-1, z ;(c) x, y+1, z ;(h) \mathrm{x}, \frac{1}{2}-y,-z ;(j)-x$, $y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z ;(l) 1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z ;(o) 1-x,-y,-z ;(p) 1-x, 1-y,-z$; (q) $x, y-1, \frac{1}{2}-z ;(r) x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z ;(t)-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, z ;(v) 1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, z$; (w) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, z$.]
\& Ibers, 2000). The $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Se}$ distances are in the range 3.0157 (7)-3.1926 (4) A. compared with a range of 2.8999 (9)3.2864 (12) $\AA$ in $\mathrm{Ce}_{3.67} \mathrm{Ti}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{6}$. In $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}$, the $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are in the range 2.495 (3) -2.621 (3) $\AA$, which may also be compared with the range in $\mathrm{Ce}_{3.67} \mathrm{Ti}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{6}$.

The $\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{Te}$ distances are in the range $3.2082(5)-$ 3.3704 (5) $\AA$, compared with 3.1898 (8)-3.3032 (11) $\AA$ in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{CeTe}_{4}$ (Patschke et al., 1998).

## Experimental

The reactive flux, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$, was prepared by the stoichiometric reaction of Cs (Aldrich, $99.5 \%$ ) and Se (Aldrich, 99.5\%) in liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. Most of the compounds listed in Table 1 were synthesized accidentally, and the present compounds were no exception. Clear light-red needles of $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right)$ Se were obtained accidentally in the reaction of $\mathrm{Ce}(71 \mathrm{mg}$, Alfa Aesar, $99.9 \%$ ), $\mathrm{Se}(40 \mathrm{mg}$, Aldrich, $99.5 \%$ ) $\mathrm{CsCl}(150 \mathrm{mg}$, Aldrich, $99.9 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}(150 \mathrm{mg})$. The materials were mixed and sealed in an unprotected fused-silica tube that was then evacuated to $10^{-4}$ Torr $(1 \mathrm{Torr}=133.322 \mathrm{~Pa})$. The tube was heated to 1173 K , kept at 1173 K for 72 h , cooled at $4 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ to 473 K , and then the furnace was turned off. The reaction mixture was washed with deionized water and finally dried with acetone. Qualitative energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis verified the presence of $\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Si}$, and Se . The light-yellow needles of $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right)$ Te were grown accidentally in the reaction of Ce ( 70 mg , Alfa Aesar, $99.9 \%$ ), Ti ( 48 mg , Aldrich, $99.9 \%$ ), $\mathrm{TeO}_{2}$ ( 80 mg , Aldrich, $99.995 \%$ ), Te ( 80 mg , Strem, $99.9 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{KCl}(150 \mathrm{mg}$, Aldrich, $99.9 \%)$. The mixture was sealed in an unprotected fused-silica tube that was then evacuated to $10^{-4}$ Torr. The tube was heated to 1073 K , kept at 1073 K for 72 h , cooled at $4 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ to 373 K , and then the furnace was turned off. The reaction product was washed with water and dried with actone. Qualitative EDS analysis verified the presence of $\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Si}$, and Te .

## Compound (I)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}$
$M_{r}=451.29$
Orthorrombic, $P b c m$
$a=6.2250(6) \AA$
$b=7.2354(7) \AA$
$c=11.0739(10) \AA$
$V=498.77(8) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=6.010 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

## Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area-

 detector diffractometer$0.3^{\circ} \omega$ scans
Absorption correction: numerical
(SHELXTL; Sheldrick, 2003)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.067, T_{\text {max }}=0.274$
5011 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.021$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.059$
$S=1.49$
662 reflections
42 parameters
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.03 P)^{2}\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$

662 independent reflections
643 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.029$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=29.0^{\circ}$
$h=-8 \rightarrow 8$
$k=-9 \rightarrow 9$
$l=-14 \rightarrow 14$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=2.03 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-1.49 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXTL
(Sheldrick, 2003)
Extinction coefficient: 0.0019 (3)

Table 1
The currently known $\mathrm{Ln}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) Q(Q=\mathrm{Te}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{S})$ compounds.

| $Q=\mathrm{Te}$ | $Q=\mathrm{Se}$ | $Q=\mathrm{S}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}^{a}(P b c m)$ | $\mathrm{La}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{b}(P b c m)$ | $\mathrm{Ho}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{c}(P b c m)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}^{d}\left(P b c m\right.$ and $\left.P 2_{1} / c\right)$ | $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{a}(P b c m)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Nd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}^{e}\left(P b c m\right.$ and $\left.P 2_{1} / c\right)$ | $\mathrm{Nd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{f}(P b c m)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Sm}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}^{e, g}\left(P b c m\right.$ and $\left.P 2_{1} / c\right)$ | $\mathrm{Sm}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{g}(P b c m)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}^{h}(P b c m)$ | $\mathrm{Tb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{i}(P b c m)$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Dy}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{g}(P b c m)$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ho}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{g}(P b c m)$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Er}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}^{j}(P b c m)$ |  |

Notes: (a) This work; (b) Brennan \& Ibers (1991); (c) Hartenbach et al. (2002); (d) Weber \& Schleid (1999); (e) Yang \& Ibers (2000); (f) Grupe \& Urland (1990); (g) Person et al. (2000); (h) Ijjaali \& Ibers (2001); (i) Ijjaali et al. (2002); (j) Stöwe (1994).

Table 2
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (I).

| $\mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 2.480 (3) | $\mathrm{Ce} 2-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.504 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.486 (3) | $\mathrm{Ce} 2-\mathrm{O} 2^{\text {v }}$ | 2.518 (3) |
| Ce1-O2 | 2.578 (2) | $\mathrm{Ce} 2-\mathrm{Se}$ | 3.1926 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{Se}{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 3.0157 (7) | $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.630 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Ce} 1-\mathrm{Se}$ | 3.1802 (6) | $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 1.647 (3) |
| Ce2-O2 | 2.475 (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 106.2 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 104.36 (13) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2{ }^{\text {vi }}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 119.01 (13) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {vii }}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 104.8 (2) |

## Compound (II)

Crystal data

| $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=499.93$ | Cell parameters from 5770 |
| Orthorhombic, $P b c m$ | reflections |
| $a=6.3647(6) \AA$ | $\theta=3.2-28.9^{\circ}$ |
| $b=7.2807(7) \AA$ | $\mu=22.82 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $c=11.2743(10) \AA$ | $T=153(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $V=522.45(8) \AA^{3}$ | Needle, light yellow |
| $Z=4$ | $0.080 \times 0.052 \times 0.042 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $D_{x}=6.356 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |  |
| Data collection |  |
| Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area- | 690 independent reflections |
| $\quad$ detector diffractometer | 666 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $0.3^{\circ} \omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.034$ |
| Absorption correction: numerical | $\theta_{\max }=28.9^{\circ}$ |
| $\quad(S H E L X T L ;$ Sheldrick, 2003) | $h=-8 \rightarrow 8$ |
| $\quad T_{\text {min }}=0.244, T_{\text {max }}=0.423$ | $k=-9 \rightarrow 9$ |
| 5770 measured reflections | $l=-15 \rightarrow 14$ |

## inorganic compounds

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.023$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.057$
$S=1.34$
690 reflections
42 parameters
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.031 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=1.99 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-2.03 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
Extinction correction: SHELXTL
Extinction coefficient: 0.0071 (4)

In $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Se}$, the highest residual electron density is $0.03 \AA$ from the Ce 2 site and the deepest hole is $0.69 \AA$ from this same site. In $\mathrm{Ce}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Te}$, the highest residual electron density is $0.01 \AA$ from the Ce 2 site and the deepest hole is $0.61 \AA$ from Te .

For both compounds, data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINTPlus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2003); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: $X P$ in SHELXTL.
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